Beverly Hills Eye Medical Group, Inc.

12561 Promontory Road Los Angeles, Ca. 90049 Phone 323 653-3800 Fax 310 472-4244

April 27, 2002

Steven A. Friedman, M. D. Physician and Attorney at Law 850 West Chester Pike, 1st Floor Havertown, PA 19083

RE: Dominic Morgan's examination on 4/27/02

Dear Dr. Friedman:

As you requested, I have examined your client and this report will summarize my findings.

History Mr. Morgan stated that his best-corrected visual acuity was never better than 20/50 on numerous previous examinations secondary to his retinopathy of prematurity. The 20/50 visual acuity was confirmed on his driver test examination. He also stated that he went to the Nevyas Eye Center because he heard a radio commercial on KYW. He was told he was a "good candidate" for LASIK despite his ROP. After surgery on his left eye he complained about the quality of his vision and problems with his night vision and was told that it was normal at that stage and would improve with time. These assurances were the reason he consented to surgery on his right eye.

His current complaints include the following: vision fluctuates a great deal, some days worse than others and changes during the same day depending on lighting conditions; cannot see to drive at night; he still has a driver's license but has essentially given up driving; at dusk, everything becomes even more blurry and he sees starbursts around lights; during the day he gets by OK, cannot read road signs but he feels he could drive in familiar areas; all these symptoms are worse in his right eye, especially at night.

Examination:

Uncorrected visual acuity OD 20/100 +2, OS 20/100 -

VA with present glasses OD $-1.00 - 0.50 \times 11 = 20/100$, OS $-0.75 - 0.25 \times 26 = 20/80 - 1$

Refraction OD $-0.50 - 0.50 \times 90 = 20/80 +$, OS -1.50 = 20/80 +

Cycloplegic refraction OD $-0.50 - 0.50 \times 90 = 20/100$ with triple images of chart letters OS -1.25 = 20/100 with triple images of chart letters